## **Introduced by Assembly Member Hayashi**

February 27, 2009

An act to amend Section 758.5 of the Insurance Code, relating to motor vehicle insurance.

## LEGISLATIVE COUNSEL'S DIGEST

AB 1200, as introduced, Hayashi. Motor vehicle insurance: direct repair programs.

Existing law prohibits insurers from requiring that an automobile be repaired at a specific automotive repair dealer. Under existing law, an insurer may suggest or recommend a specific automotive repair dealer under certain specified circumstances.

This bill would state that nothing in that provision prohibits an insurer from providing a claimant with truthful, nondeceptive information regarding the benefits of selecting a specific automotive repair dealer, including, but not limited to, the availability and duration of repair warranties.

Vote: majority. Appropriation: no. Fiscal committee: no. State-mandated local program: no.

The people of the State of California do enact as follows:

- SECTION 1. Section 758.5 of the Insurance Code is amended to read:
- 3 758.5. (a) No insurer shall require that an automobile be
- 4 repaired at a specific automotive repair dealer, as defined in Section
- 5 9880.1 of the Business and Professions Code. Nothing in this

AB 1200 — 2 —

5

6

7

8

9

10

11 12

13

14

15

16 17

18

19

20 21

22

23

1 section prohibits an insurer from providing a claimant with 2 truthful, nondeceptive information regarding the benefits of 3 selecting a specific automotive repair dealer, including, but not 4 limited to, the availability and duration of repair warranties.

- (b) (1) No insurer shall suggest or recommend that an automobile be repaired at a specific automotive repair dealer unless either of the following applies:
  - (A) A referral is expressly requested by the claimant.
- (B) The claimant has been informed in writing of the right to select the automotive repair dealer.
- (2) If the recommendation is accepted by the claimant, the insurer shall cause the damaged vehicle to be restored to its condition prior to the loss at no additional cost to the claimant other than as stated in the policy or as is otherwise allowed by law. If the recommendation of an automotive repair dealer is done orally, and if the oral recommendation is accepted by the claimant, the insurer shall provide the information contained in this paragraph, as noted in the statement below, to the claimant at the time the recommendation is made. The insurer shall send the written notice required by this paragraph within five calendar days from the oral recommendation. The written notice required by this paragraph shall include the following statement plainly printed in no less than 10-point type:
- 24 "WE ARE PROHIBITED BY LAW FROM REQUIRING THAT
- 25 REPAIRS BE DONE AT A SPECIFIC AUTOMOTIVE REPAIR
- 26 DEALER. YOU ARE ENTITLED TO SELECT THE AUTO
- 27 BODY REPAIR SHOP TO REPAIR DAMAGE COVERED BY
- 28 US. WE HAVE RECOMMENDED AN AUTOMOTIVE REPAIR
- 29 DEALER THAT WILL REPAIR YOUR DAMAGED VEHICLE.
- 30 IF YOU AGREE TO USE OUR RECOMMENDED
- 31 AUTOMOTIVE REPAIR DEALER, WE WILL CAUSE THE
- 32 DAMAGED VEHICLE TO BE RESTORED TO ITS
- 33 CONDITION PRIOR TO THE LOSS AT NO ADDITIONAL
- 34 COST TO YOU OTHER THAN AS STATED IN THE
- 35 INSURANCE POLICY OR AS OTHERWISE ALLOWED BY
- 36 LAW. IF YOU EXPERIENCE A PROBLEM WITH THE REPAIR
- 37 OF YOUR VEHICLE, PLEASE CONTACT US IMMEDIATELY
- 38 FOR ASSISTANCE."
- 39 (c) Except as provided in subparagraph (A) of paragraph (1) of 40 subdivision (b), after the claimant has chosen an automotive repair

-3- AB 1200

dealer, the insurer shall not suggest or recommend that the claimant select a different automotive repair dealer.

2

3

4

5

6 7

8

9

10

11 12

13 14

15

16

- (d) Any insurer that, by the insurance contract, suggests or recommends that an automobile be repaired at a particular automotive repair dealer shall also do both of the following:
- (1) Prominently disclose the contractual provision in writing to the insured at the time the insurance is applied for and at the time the claim is acknowledged by the insurer.
- (2) If the claimant elects to have the vehicle repaired at the shop of his or her choice, the insurer shall not limit or discount the reasonable repair costs based on charges that would have been incurred had the vehicle been repaired by the insurer's chosen shop.
- (e) For purposes of this section, "claimant" means a first-party claimant or insured, or a third-party claimant who asserts a right of recovery for automotive repairs under an insurance policy.
- 17 (f) The powers of the commissioner to enforce this section shall 18 include those granted in Article 6.5 (commencing with Section 19 790) of Chapter 1 of Part 2 of Division 1.